

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Status of Claims:

Claims 1-6 are currently being cancelled.

Claim 8 is currently being amended.

No claims are currently being added.

This amendment cancels and amends claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claims remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending, adding and canceling the claims as set forth above, claim 8 is the only claim now pending in this application.

Request for Entry of after-final Amendment and Reply:

It is respectfully requested that this after-final amendment and reply be considered and entered, since it places this application in condition for allowance based on the indications made in the Office Action.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter:

Applicant appreciates the indication in the Office Action that claim 8 contains allowable subject matter. By way of this amendment and reply, claim 8 has been amended to overcome the objection raised with that claim, and to place that claim in independent form to include the features of its base claim and any intervening claims. Thus, claim 8 is now in condition for allowance in accordance with the indications made in the Office Action.

Objection to Claim 8:

In the Office Action, claim 8 was objected to because “said plurality of different kinds of effective code signals” in lines 2-3 of that claim did not have sufficient antecedent basis. By way of this amendment and reply, “said plurality of different kinds of effective code signals” has been changed to “a plurality of different kinds of effective code signals”. Accordingly, this objection has been overcome by amendment to claim 8.

Claim Rejections – Prior Art:

In the Office Action, claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,886,652 to Adachi; claims 3 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0025709 to Kim; and claims 4 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi in view of Kim and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,301,032 to Hong. Due to the cancellation of claims 1-6, these rejections are now moot.

Conclusion:

Since all of the issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed in this Amendment and Reply, Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and an early indication of allowance is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date March 19, 2006

By Phillip J. Articola

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5414
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Richard L. Schwaab
Registration No. 25,479

Phillip J. Articola
Registration No. 38,819